

Proxy Voting Policy



State Street Global Advisors

Introduction

State Street Global Advisors ("SSgA") seeks to vote proxies for which it has discretionary authority in the best interests of its clients. This entails voting proxies in a way which SSgA believes will maximize the monetary value of each portfolio's holdings with respect to proposals that are reasonably anticipated to have an impact on the current or potential value of a security. Absent unusual circumstances or specific client instructions, we vote proxies on a particular matter in the same way for all clients, regardless of their investment style or strategies. SSgA takes the view that voting in a manner consistent with maximizing the value of our clients' holdings will benefit our direct clients (e.g. investment funds) and, indirectly, the ultimate owners and beneficiaries of those clients (e.g. fund shareholders).

Oversight of the proxy voting process is the responsibility of the SSgA Investment Committee. The SSgA Investment Committee reviews and approves amendments to the SSgA Proxy Voting Policy and delegates authority to vote in accordance with this policy to the SSgA Proxy Review Committee, a subcommittee of the SSgA Investment Committee. SSgA retains the final authority and responsibility for voting. In addition to voting proxies, SSgA:

- 1) provides the client with this written proxy policy, upon request;
- 2) matches proxies received with holdings as of record date;
- 3) reconciles holdings as of record date and rectifies any discrepancies;
- 4) generally applies its proxy voting policy consistently and keeps records of votes for each client;
- 5) documents the reason(s) for voting for any material items (i.e. those items which are referred to the SSgA Proxy Review Committee pursuant to this policy or as to which a potential conflict of interest has been identified by SSgA); and
- 6) keeps records of such proxy voting available for inspection by the client or governmental agencies.

Process

The SSgA Manager of Corporate Governance is responsible for monitoring proxy voting on behalf of our clients and executing the day to day implementation of this Proxy Voting Policy. As stated above, oversight of the proxy voting process is the responsibility of the SSgA Investment Committee.

In order to facilitate our proxy voting process, SSgA retains Institutional Shareholder Services ("ISS"), a firm with expertise in the proxy voting and corporate governance fields. ISS assists in the proxy voting process, including acting as our voting agent (i.e. actually processing the proxies), advising us as to current and emerging governance issues that we may wish to address, interpreting this policy and applying it to individual proxy items, and providing analytical information concerning specific issuers and proxy items as well as governance trends and developments. This Policy does not address all issues as to which we may receive proxies nor does it seek to describe in detail all factors that we may consider relevant to any particular proposal. To assist ISS in interpreting and applying this Policy, we meet with ISS at least annually, provide written guidance on certain topics generally on an annual basis and communicate more regularly as necessary to discuss how specific issues should be addressed. This guidance permits ISS to apply this Policy without consulting us as to each proxy but in a manner that is consistent with our investment view and not their own governance opinions. If an issue raised by a proxy is not addressed by this Policy or our prior guidance to ISS, ISS refers the

Proxy Voting Policy



State Street Global Advisors

proxy to us for direction on voting. On issues that we do not believe affect the economic value of our portfolio holdings or are considered by us to be routine matters as to which we have not provided specific guidance, we have agreed with ISS to act as our voting agent in voting such proxies in accordance with its own recommendations which, to the extent possible, take into account this Policy and SSgA's general positions on similar matters. The Manager of Corporate Governance is responsible, working with ISS, for submitting proxies in a timely manner and in accordance with our policy. The Manager of Corporate Governance works with ISS to establish and update detailed procedures to implement this policy.

From time to time, proxy votes will be solicited which fall into one of the following categories:

- (i) proxies which involve special circumstances and require additional research and discussion (e.g. a material merger or acquisition, or a material governance issue with the potential to become a significant precedent in corporate governance); or
- (ii) proxies which are not directly addressed by our policies and which are reasonably anticipated to have an impact on the current or potential value of a security or which we do not consider to be routine.

These proxies are identified through a number of methods, including but not limited to notification from ISS, concerns of clients, review by internal proxy specialists, and questions from consultants. The role of third parties in identifying special circumstances does not mean that we will depart from our guidelines; these third parties are all treated as information sources. If they raise issues that we determine to be prudent before voting a particular proxy or departing from our prior guidance to ISS, we will weigh the issue along with other relevant factors before making an informed decision. In all cases, we vote proxies as to which we have voting discretion in a manner that we determine to be in the best interest of our clients. As stated above, if the proposal has a quantifiable effect on shareholder value, we seek to maximize the value of a portfolio's holdings. With respect to matters that are not so quantifiable, we exercise greater judgment but still seek to maximize long-term value by promoting sound governance policies. The goal of the Proxy Voting Committee is to make the most informed decision possible.

In instances of special circumstances or issues not directly addressed by our policies or guidance to ISS, the SSgA Manager of Corporate Governance will refer the item to the Chairman of the Investment Committee for a determination of the proxy vote. The first determination is whether there is a material conflict of interest between the interests of our client and those of SSgA or its affiliates (as explained in greater detail below under "Potential Conflicts"). If the Manager of Corporate Governance and the Chairman of the Investment Committee determine that there is a material conflict, the process detailed below under "Potential Conflicts" is followed. If there is no material conflict, we examine the proposals that involve special circumstances or are not addressed by our policy or guidance in detail in seeking to determine what vote would be in the best interests of our clients. At this point, the Chairman of the Investment Committee makes a voting decision in our clients' best interest. However, the Chairman of the Investment Committee may determine that a proxy involves the consideration of particularly significant issues and present the proxy item to the Proxy Review Committee and/or to the entire Investment Committee for a final decision on voting the proxy. The Investment Committee will use the same rationale for determining the appropriate vote.

SSgA reviews proxies of non-US issuers in the context of these guidelines. However, SSgA also endeavors to show sensitivity to local market practices when voting these proxies, which may lead to different votes. For example, in certain foreign markets, items are put to vote which have little or no effect on shareholder value, but which are routinely voted on in those jurisdictions; in the absence of material effect on our clients, we will follow market practice. SSgA votes in all

Proxy Voting Policy



State Street Global Advisors

markets where it is feasible to do so. Note that certain custodians utilized by our clients do not offer proxy voting in every foreign jurisdiction. In such a case, SSgA will be unable to vote such a proxy.

Voting

For most issues and in most circumstances, we abide by the following general guidelines. However, it is important to remember that these are simply guidelines. As discussed above, in certain circumstances, we may determine that it would be in the best interests of our clients to deviate from these guidelines.

I. Generally, SSgA votes for the following ballot items:

Board of Directors

- Elections of directors who (i) we determine to be adequately independent of management and (ii) do not simultaneously serve on an unreasonable (as determined by SSgA) number of other boards (other than those affiliated with the issuer). Factors that we consider in evaluating independence include whether the nominee is an employee of or related to an employee of the issuer or its auditor, whether the nominee provides professional services to the issuer, or whether the nominee receives non-board related compensation from the issuer
- Directors' compensation, provided the amounts are not excessive relative to other issuers in the market or industry. In making such a determination, we review whether the compensation is overly dilutive to existing shareholders.
- Proposals to limit directors' liability and/or expand indemnification of directors, provided that a director shall only be eligible for indemnification and liability protection if he or she has not acted in bad faith, gross negligence or reckless disregard of the duties involved in the conduct of his or her office
- Discharge of board members' duties*, in the absence of pending litigation, governmental investigation, charges of fraud or other indicia of significant concern
- The establishment of annual elections of the board of directors unless the board is composed by a majority of independent directors, the board's key committees (auditing, nominating and compensation) are composed of independent directors, and there are no other material governance issues or performance issues.
- Mandates requiring a majority of independent directors on the Board of Directors
- Mandates that Audit, Compensation and Nominating Committee members should all be independent directors

* Common for non-US issuers; request from the issuer to discharge from liability the directors or auditors with respect to actions taken by them during the previous year.

Proxy Voting Policy



State Street Global Advisors

- Mandates giving the Audit Committee the sole responsibility for the selection and dismissal of the auditing firm and any subsequent result of audits are reported to the audit committee
- Elimination of cumulative voting
- Establishment of confidential voting

Auditors

- Approval of auditors, unless the fees paid to auditors are excessive; auditors' fees will be deemed excessive if the non-audit fees for the prior year constituted 50% or more of the total fees paid to the auditors
- Auditors' compensation, provided the issuer has properly disclosed audit and non-audit fees relative to market practice and that non-audit fees for the prior year constituted no more than 50% of the total fees paid to the auditors
- Discharge of auditors*
- Approval of financial statements, auditor reports and allocation of income
- Requirements that auditors attend the annual meeting of shareholders
- Disclosure of Auditor and Consulting relationships when the same or related entities are conducting both activities
- Establishment of a selection committee responsible for the final approval of significant management consultant contract awards where existing firms are already acting in an auditing function

Capitalization

- Dividend payouts that are greater than or equal to country and industry standards; we generally support a dividend which constitutes 30% or more of net income
- Authorization of share repurchase programs, unless the issuer does not clearly state the business purpose for the program, a definitive number of shares to be repurchased, and the time frame for the repurchase
- Capitalization changes which eliminate other classes of stock and/or unequal voting rights
- Changes in capitalization authorization for stock splits, stock dividends, and other specified needs which are no more than 50% of the existing authorization for U.S. companies and no more than 100% of existing authorization for non-U.S. companies.

- Elimination of pre-emptive rights for share issuance of less than a certain percentage (country specific - ranging from 5% to 20%) of the outstanding shares, unless even such small amount could have a material dilutive effect on existing shareholders (e.g. in illiquid markets)

Anti-Takeover Measures

- Elimination of shareholder rights plans ("poison pill")
- Amendment to a shareholder rights plans ("poison pill") where the terms of the new plans are more favorable to shareholders' ability to accept unsolicited offers (i.e. if one of the following conditions are met: (i) minimum trigger, flip-in or flip-over of 20%, (ii) maximum term of three years, (iii) no "dead hand," "slow hand," "no hand" or similar feature that limits the ability of a future board to redeem the pill, and (iv) inclusion of a shareholder redemption feature (qualifying offer clause), permitting ten percent of the shares to call a special meeting or seek a written consent to vote on rescinding the pill if the board refuses to redeem the pill 90 days after a qualifying offer is announced)
- Adoption or renewal of a non-US issuer's shareholder rights plans ("poison pill") if the following conditions are met: (i) minimum trigger, flip-in or flip-over of 20%, (ii) maximum term of three years, (iii) no "dead hand," "slow hand," "no hand" or similar feature that limits the ability of a future board to redeem the pill, and (iv) inclusion of a shareholder redemption feature (qualifying offer clause), permitting ten percent of the shares to call a special meeting or seek a written consent to vote on rescinding the pill if the board refuses to redeem the pill 90 days after a qualifying offer is announced
- Reduction or elimination of super-majority vote requirements, unless management of the issuer was concurrently seeking to or had previously made such reduction or elimination
- Mandates requiring shareholder approval of a shareholder rights plans ("poison pill")
- Repeals of various anti-takeover related provisions

Executive Compensation/Equity Compensation

- Stock purchase plans with an exercise price of not less than 85% of fair market value
- Stock option plans which are incentive based and not excessively dilutive. In order to assess the dilutive effect, we divide the number of shares required to fully fund the proposed plan, the number of authorized but unissued shares, and the issued but unexercised shares by fully diluted share count. We review that number in light of certain factors, including the industry of the issuer, in order to make our determination as to whether the dilution is excessive.
- Other stock-based plans which are not excessively dilutive, using the same process set forth in the preceding bullet
- Expansions to reporting of financial or compensation-related information, within reason

Proxy Voting Policy



State Street Global Advisors

- Proposals requiring the disclosure of executive retirement benefits if the issuer does not have an independent compensation committee

Routine Business Items

- General updating of or corrective amendments to charter not otherwise specifically addressed herein, unless such amendments would reasonably be expected to diminish shareholder rights (e.g. extension of directors' term limits, amending shareholder vote requirement to amend the charter documents, insufficient information provided as to the reason behind the amendment)
- Change in Corporation Name
- Mandates that amendments to bylaws or charters have shareholder approval

Other

- Adoption of anti-"greenmail" provisions, provided that the proposal: (i) defines greenmail; (ii) prohibits buyback offers to large block holders (holders of at least 1% of the outstanding shares and in certain cases, a greater amount, as determined by the Proxy Review Committee) not made to all shareholders or not approved by disinterested shareholders; and (iii) contains no anti-takeover measures or other provisions restricting the rights of shareholders
- Repeals or prohibitions of "greenmail" provisions
- "Opting-out" of business combination provision

II. Generally, SSgA votes against the following items:

Board of Directors

- Establishment of classified boards of directors, unless 80% of the board is independent
- Proposals requesting re-election of insiders or affiliated directors who serve on audit, compensation, or nominating committees
- Limits to tenure of directors
- Requirements that candidates for directorships own large amounts of stock before being eligible to be elected
- Restoration of cumulative voting in the election of directors
- Removal of a director, unless we determine the director (i) is not adequately independent of management or (ii) simultaneously serves on an unreasonable (as determined by SSgA) number of other boards (other than those affiliated with the issuer). Factors that we consider in evaluating independence include whether the

Proxy Voting Policy



State Street Global Advisors

director is an employee of or related to an employee of the issuer or its auditor, whether the director provides professional services to the issuer, or whether the director receives non-board related compensation from the issuer.

- Elimination of Shareholders' Right to Call Special Meetings
- Proposals that relate to the "transaction of other business as properly comes before the meeting", which extend "blank check" powers to those acting as proxy
- Approval of Directors who have failed to act on a shareholder proposal that has been approved by a majority of outstanding shares
- Directors at companies where prior non-cash compensation was improperly "backdated" or "springloaded" where one of the following scenarios exists:
 - (i) it is unknown whether the Compensation Committee had knowledge of such backdating at the time, (ii) the Compensation Committee was not independent at the time, and (iii) the director seeking reelection served on the Compensation Committee at the time; or
 - (i) it is unknown whether the Compensation Committee had knowledge of such backdating at the time, (ii) the Compensation Committee was independent at the time, and (iii) sufficient controls have not been implemented to avoid similar improper payments going forward; or
 - (i) the Compensation Committee had knowledge of such backdating at the time, and (ii) the director seeking reelection served on the Compensation Committee at the time; or
 - (i) the Compensation Committee did not have knowledge of such backdating at the time, and (ii) sufficient controls have not been implemented to avoid similar improper payments going forward

Capitalization

- Capitalization changes that add "blank check" classes of stock (i.e. classes of stock with undefined voting rights) or classes that dilute the voting interests of existing shareholders
- Capitalization changes that exceed 100% of the issuer's current authorized capital unless management provides an appropriate rationale for such change

Anti-Takeover Measures

- Anti-takeover and related provisions that serve to prevent the majority of shareholders from exercising their rights or effectively deter appropriate tender offers and other offers
- Adjournment of Meeting to Solicit Additional Votes
- Shareholder rights plans that do not include a shareholder redemption feature (qualifying offer clause), permitting ten percent of the shares to call a special meeting

Proxy Voting Policy



State Street Global Advisors

or seek a written consent to vote on rescinding the pill if the board refuses to redeem the pill 90 days after a qualifying offer is announced

- Adoption or renewal of a US issuer's shareholder rights plan ("poison pill")

Executive Compensation/Equity Compensation

- Excessive compensation (i.e. compensation plans which are deemed by SSgA to be overly dilutive)
- Retirement bonuses for non-executive directors and auditors
- Proposals requiring the disclosure of executive retirement benefits if the issuer has an independent compensation committee

Routine Business Items

- Amendments to bylaws which would require super-majority shareholder votes to pass or repeal certain provisions
- Reincorporation in a location which has more stringent anti-takeover and related provisions
- Proposals asking the board to adopt any form of majority voting, unless the majority standard indicated is based on a majority of shares outstanding.

Other

- Requirements that the company provide costly, duplicative, or redundant reports, or reports of a non-business nature
- Restrictions related to social, political, or special interest issues which affect the ability of the company to do business or be competitive and which have significant financial or best-interest impact
- Proposals which require inappropriate endorsements or corporate actions
- Proposals asking companies to adopt full tenure holding periods for their executives

III. SSgA evaluates Mergers and Acquisitions on a case-by-case basis. Consistent with our proxy policy, we support management in seeking to achieve their objectives for shareholders. However, in all cases, SSgA uses its discretion in order to maximize shareholder value. SSgA generally votes as follows:

- Against offers with potentially damaging consequences for minority shareholders because of illiquid stock, especially in some non-US markets
- Against offers when we believe that reasonable prospects exist for an enhanced bid or other bidders

Proxy Voting Policy



State Street Global Advisors

- Against offers where, at the time of voting, the current market price of the security exceeds the bid price
- For proposals to restructure or liquidate closed end investment funds in which the secondary market price is substantially lower than the net asset value
- For offers made at a premium where no other higher bidder exists

Protecting Shareholder Value

We at SSgA agree entirely with the United States Department of Labor's position that "where proxy voting decisions may have an effect on the economic value of the plan's underlying investment, plan fiduciaries should make proxy voting decisions with a view to enhancing the value of the shares of stock" (IB 94-2). Our proxy voting policy and procedures are designed with the intent that our clients receive the best possible returns on their investments. We meet directly with corporation representatives and participate in conference calls and third-party inquiries in order to ensure our processes are as fully informed as possible. However, we use each piece of information we receive -- whether from clients, consultants, the media, the issuer, ISS or other sources -- as one part of our analysis in seeking to carry out our duties as a fiduciary and act in the best interest of our clients. We are not unduly influenced by the identity of any particular source, but use all the information to form our opinion as to the best outcome for our clients.

Through our membership in the Council of Institutional Investors as well as our contact with corporate pension plans, public funds, and unions, we are also able to communicate extensively with other shareholders regarding events and issues relevant to individual corporations, general industry, and current shareholder concerns.

In addition, SSgA monitors "target" lists of underperforming companies prepared by various shareholder groups, including: California Public Employee Retirement System, The City of New York - Office of the Comptroller, International Brotherhood of Teamsters, and Council of Institutional Investors. Companies, so identified, receive an individual, systematic review by the SSgA Manager of Corporate Governance and the SSgA Proxy Review Committee, as necessary.

As an active shareholder, SSgA's role is to support corporate policies that serve the best interests of our clients. Though we do not seek involvement in the day-to-day operations of an organization, we recognize the need for conscientious oversight of and input into management decisions that may affect a company's value. To that end, our monitoring of corporate management and industry events is substantially more detailed than that of the typical shareholder. We have demonstrated our willingness to vote against management-sponsored initiatives and to support shareholder proposals when appropriate. To date we have not filed proposals or initiated letter-writing or other campaigns, but have used our active participation in the corporate governance process--especially the proxy voting process--as the most effective means by which to communicate our and our clients' legitimate shareholder concerns. Should an issue arise in conjunction with a specific corporation that cannot be satisfactorily resolved through these means, we shall consider other approaches.

Potential Conflicts

As discussed above under Process, from time to time, SSgA will review a proxy which may present a potential conflict of interest. As a fiduciary to its clients, SSgA takes these potential

Proxy Voting Policy



State Street Global Advisors

conflicts very seriously. While SSgA's only goal in addressing any such potential conflict is to ensure that proxy votes are cast in the clients' best interests and are not affected by SSgA's potential conflict, there are a number of courses SSgA may take. Although various relationships could be deemed to give rise to a conflict of interest, we have determined that two categories of relationships present a sufficiently serious concern to warrant an alternative process: customers of SSgA or its affiliates which are among the top 100 clients of SSgA and its affiliates based upon revenue; and the 10 largest broker-dealers used by SSgA, based upon revenue (a "Material Relationship").

When the matter falls clearly within the policies set forth above or the guidance previously provided by SSgA to ISS and the proxy is to be voted in accordance with that guidance, we do not believe that such decision represents a conflict of interest and no special procedures are warranted.

In circumstances where either (i) the matter does not fall clearly within the policies set forth above or the guidance previously provided to ISS, or (ii) SSgA determines that voting in accordance with such policies or guidance is not in the best interests of its clients, the SSgA Manager of Corporate Governance will compare the name of the issuer against a list of the top 100 revenue generating clients of State Street Corporation and its affiliates and a list of the top 10 broker-dealer relationships to determine if a Material Relationship exists. (These lists are updated quarterly.) If the issuer's name appears on either list and the pre-determined policy is not being followed, SSgA will employ the services of a third party, wholly independent of SSgA, its affiliates and those parties involved in the proxy issue, to determine the appropriate vote. However, in certain circumstances the SSgA Proxy Review Committee may determine that the use of a third party fiduciary is not necessary or appropriate, either because the matter involved does not involve a material issue or because the issue in question affects the underlying value of the portfolio position and it is appropriate for SSgA, notwithstanding the potential conflict of interest, to vote the security in a manner that it determines will maximize the value to its client. In such situations, the SSgA Proxy Committee, or if a broader discussion is warranted, the SSgA Investment Committee, shall make a decision as to the voting of the proxy. The basis for the voting decision, including the basis for the determination that the decision is in the best interests of SSgA's clients, shall be formalized in writing as a part of the minutes to the Investment Committee.